

CITY OF HIGHLAND HEIGHTS, KENTUCKY

ORDINANCE NO. 01-2002

AN ORDINANCE REPEALING ORDINANCES
5-82 AND 7-91 EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2003
AND FIXING THE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION
TO BE PAID TO THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF
HIGHLAND HEIGHTS AND TO EACH COUNCIL
MEMBER OF THE CITY OF HIGHLAND HEIGHTS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF HIGHLAND HEIGHTS, KENTUCKY
AS FOLLOWS:

Section I

That Ordinances 5-82 and 7-91 are hereby repealed effective January 1, 2003.

Section II

That the Mayor of the City of Highland Heights, Kentucky shall receive compensation for such service in the amount of \$3,600.00 per year effective January 1, 2003.

Section III

The council members of the City of Highland Heights, Kentucky shall receive compensation for such services in the amount of \$3,000.00 per year, per member, effective and payable beginning January 1, 2003.

Section IV

Both the Mayor and the Council's salaries, effective January 1, 2003 shall be directly correlated with the consumer price index as computed by the Department of Local Government each February so as to permit a cost of living increase or decrease consistent with said calculation.

Section V

The above rates of compensation shall be payable on a monthly basis.

Section VI

All ordinances or parts of ordinance in conflict herewith are repealed.

Section VII

That the salary of the current councilpersons and Mayor shall continue to be \$1,500.00 per year for each member of council and \$1,800.00 per year for the Mayor.

Section VIII


That this Ordinance shall be signed by the Mayor, attested by the City Clerk/Treasurer, recorded and published. Same shall be in effect at the earliest time provided by law.

First reading this 16 day of April, 2002


Read after declaring an Emergency for the second time
~~Passed on Second reading this~~ 16 day of April, 2002

VETO

MAYOR CHARLES ROETTGER, III



ATTESTED:



JEAN A. RAUF
CITY CLERK/TREASURER

Ord02.02

I am vetoing this ordinance because it is an excessive amount of increase particularly when compared to the amounts discussed at the public meeting preceding the motion for this change, and other reasons as stated at the Council Meeting on April 16th 2002.

